



SHERMAN BLOCK, SHERIFF

**County of Los Angeles**  
**Sheriff's Department Headquarters**  
**4700 Ramona Boulevard**  
**Monterey Park, California 91754-2169**



April 15, 1998

Deputy Timothy Jimenez, # [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Deputy Jimenez:

You are hereby notified that I have suspended you without pay from your position of Deputy Sheriff, Item No. 2708A, for a period of thirty (30) days.

However, pursuant to a settlement agreement, between you and the Department, twenty-five (25) days will be held in abeyance for a period of twelve (12) months ending April 9, 1999. If you should become the Subject of any incident involving similar violations of the Manual of Policy and Procedures within that twelve (12) month period and that investigation ultimately becomes founded, the remaining twenty-five (25) days shall be imposed. Additionally, you will be subjected to further discipline for each and every founded violation of the Department's Manual of Policy and Procedures. All Department records will reflect, nevertheless, that you received a thirty (30) day suspension.

The effective dates of the five (5) days will be April 16, 1998 through April 20, 1998.

An investigation under File Number IAB 1096428, conducted by Internal Affairs Bureau, coupled with your own statements, has established the following:

1. That in violation of Manual Section 3-01/040.76, Obstructing and Investigation, between July 26, and July 31, 1995, you took an action which interfered with, delayed, obstructed, distorted, and/or unduly influenced a criminal investigation being conducted by the Cypress Police Department when you told non-department persons of an investigation and/or mentioned who the Confidential Reliable Informant was.
2. That in violation of Manual Section 3-01/030.05, General Behavior, between July 26, and July 31, 1995, you behaved in such a manner as to bring discredit upon yourself and/or the Department when you told non-department persons of an investigation and/or mentioned who the Confidential Reliable Informant was.

*A Tradition of Service*

3. That in violation of Manual Section 3-01/040.75, Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigations, on or about October 20, 1997, you failed to make full, complete and/or truthful statements during your Internal Affairs Bureau interview regarding how the information about [REDACTED] was released.

In taking this disciplinary action, your record with this Department has been considered, and a thorough review of this incident has been made by Department executives, including your Unit and Division Commanders.

The Sheriff's Department reserves the right to amend and/or asdd to this letter.

Sincerely,

SHERMAN BLOCK, SHERIFF

ORIGINAL SIGNED

ROBERT R. PASH, CHIEF  
COURT SERVICES DIVISION

RRP:LMH:DMS:ds

cc: Civil Service Advocacy  
Robert R. Pash, Chief, Court Services Division  
Kenneth L. Bayless, Chief, Field Operations Region III  
Internal Affairs Bureau  
Personnel Administration  
Industry Station/Unit Personnel File

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DATE:** August 29, 1995

**FILE NO:** 495-00059-2300-444

**C: Suspicious Circumstances/Action Taken:** Active/Informant  
Possible Conspiracy to Interviewed  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

**V**

**I:** Detective [REDACTED], Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

**S:** Unknown

On August 24, 1995, I interviewed Detective [REDACTED] at the Cypress Police Department regarding the allegations against Deputy Timothy Jimenez. Deputy [REDACTED] gave the following information.

Officer [REDACTED] who works a [REDACTED] for Cypress Police Department said, on July 26, 1995, he went to Norwalk Superior Court to interview a confidential reliable informant. He was going to attempt to get the CRI to give him information regarding narcotics trafficking. The interview was conducted in the "lock up" area in the basement of Norwalk Superior Court.

During the interview the CRI told Officer [REDACTED] that he knew of a location in the city of Paramount that was a "chop shop". He told Officer [REDACTED] that the man who ran the operation was a "parolee at large" by the name of [REDACTED]. He also said that there was thirty pounds of methamphetamine in the shop along with a fully automatic Mach-11 machine gun. The CRI said he could buy methamphetamine from [REDACTED] which would enable Officer [REDACTED] to serve a search warrant and arrest [REDACTED] and seize the methamphetamine and machine gun.

While interviewing the CRI, Officer [REDACTED] asked him if he was having any difficulty while he was at the Court facility. He told him he was not because one of the deputies who worked there

was an old high school friend of his and he was making sure he had no problems.

After interviewing the CRI, and while in the court room, Officer [REDACTED] was approached by Deputy Jimenez. He asked Officer [REDACTED] if he was going to use the CRI as an informant. He told Deputy Jimenez that he was and they engaged in a conversation about the CRI. Deputy Jimenez told Officer [REDACTED] that he had gone to high school with the CRI. He told him he should be wary of him because he would "play both sides". He said if the CRI was confronted by someone or if someone "got on his case", he would give up information. The deputy said he was surprised that the CRI had any information of any value.

Officer [REDACTED] said he told Deputy Jimenez about some of the past information the CRI had given him which proved to be good information and resulted in arrests and seizure of narcotics. He also told Deputy Jimenez that the CRI was currently giving him information about a "chop shop" in Paramount where there was a quantity of methamphetamine and a fully automatic Mach-11 machine gun. He also told him that the shop was run by a parolee at large.

On July 27, 1995 the CRI was released from L.A. County Men's County Jail on his own recognizance in order for him to work with Officer [REDACTED].

During the investigation which followed, the CRI purchased a small quantity of methamphetamine from [REDACTED] at the Paramount location. He also had a conversation with [REDACTED] about the Mach-11 and [REDACTED] acknowledged that he had the weapon.

On July 30, 1995 the CRI contacted Officer [REDACTED] and told him that he had been contacted by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] told the CRI he wanted to meet with him. When they met [REDACTED] questioned the CRI on how he managed to get out of jail so quickly. He also told the CRI that he had been told by Deputy Jimenez that he was working as an informant for the police. The CRI denied being an informant and told Officer [REDACTED] that he felt [REDACTED] believed him.

On July 31, 1995 Officer [REDACTED] and members of the Cypress Police Department served a search warrant at the Paramount location. A search of the location did not produce either the methamphetamine or the Mach-11 machine gun. Two safes, which allegedly contained the methamphetamine, which had been at the location the week before, were not at the location.

After serving the search warrant Officer [redacted] had a short conversation with the CRI. He told him they did not find the narcotics or the machine gun. Officer [redacted] told me it was his impression that the CRI was not too surprised. [redacted] said his conversation with the CRI was short and he did not have much time to go into details with him. In a later conversation with the CRI, he told Officer [redacted] that he felt Deputy Jimenez had told [redacted] about the investigation.

Several days after the service of the search warrant, Officer [redacted] received a telephone call from a female who identified herself as "[redacted]". [redacted] told Officer [redacted] that her [redacted] had been in prison with [redacted]. She expressed concern that the Paramount location was being vandalized and items were being stolen. She wanted Officer [redacted] permission to secure the location.

During their conversation Officer [redacted] told [redacted] that they had recovered some narcotics from the location but not as much as they had expected. He asked her if she had any idea why this was. [redacted] told Officer [redacted] she did not want anyone to know that she had told him anything. She then told him that she had heard from "[redacted]", Deputy Jimenez' [redacted] that "the bailiff who was in court with your informant, and you know who it is, told [redacted]". She would not expound on the information.

I asked Officer [redacted] what he felt the possibility was that the CRI may have told [redacted] about the impending search warrant. He said he thought it was possible, however, the CRI was facing a fairly lengthy jail sentence for violating his probation. He said if he provided information to the Cypress Police Department which resulted in a sizeable seizure of narcotics, they would present that information to the Judge for consideration before he was sentenced. He said he felt this was the CRI's chance to avoid the lengthy jail sentence and he did not think he would have given up the information and missed the opportunity.

Officer [redacted] concluded the interview by saying that during the last week he has been contacted by the CRI. The CRI told him he has been told by several individuals who sell narcotics that they have been told that he is working as a "snitch" for the police.

Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [redacted]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. Gerald Barnes

*D. Barnes 9/5/95*



**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DATE:** September 5, 1995

**FILE NO:** 495-00059-2300-444

**C: Suspicious Circumstances/Action Taken:** Active/Additional  
Possible Conspiracy to Information  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

**V**

**I:** Detective [REDACTED] Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave, Cypress, Ca. 90630  
714-229-6641

**S:** Unknown

**EVIDENCE MARKED, TAGGED, AND PLACED INTO BUREAU EVIDENCE LOCKER:**

**EV. 1:** One video cassette of interview with [REDACTED] Book 1  
Page 194.

On August 31, 1995, Detective [REDACTED] interviewed Ms. [REDACTED]. Ms. [REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was arrested by Detective [REDACTED] on July 31, 1995 as a result of information given to him by a confidential reliable informant. [REDACTED] was arrested for possession of methamphetamine and violation of parole. The interview with Ms. [REDACTED] was video taped for accuracy and has been placed into evidence.

Ms. [REDACTED] told Detective [REDACTED] that approximately two days before he served the search warrant at the Paramount location where [REDACTED] was arrested, she had a conversation with [REDACTED]. During the conversation [REDACTED] told her that he had received information "from the inside" that the police were going to raid his shop. She said at first [REDACTED] did not identify the source of information.

Later in their conversation [REDACTED] explained to her that he had a friend whom he had known for many years and with whom he had played baseball in high school. This friend was now a bailiff at the Norwalk Superior Court.

INVESTIGATORS NOTE:

According to the CRI in Detective [REDACTED] case and Deputy Jimenez himself, Deputy Jimenez knew [REDACTED] while they were in high school.

[REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that he had received a telephone call from the bailiff who told him he had seen certain paperwork come across his desk at the court. The bailiff told [REDACTED] that their mutual friend, the CRI in Detective [REDACTED] case, had recently been in court and that he was giving information to the police. He told [REDACTED] that the CRI had told the police about a warehouse that contained "pounds of dope" and an automatic weapon. He also allegedly told [REDACTED] that his shop would be "hit" in the next couple of days.

Ms. [REDACTED] said after [REDACTED] received the telephone call he called the CRI and told him to come to the shop because he wanted to talk to him. When the CRI arrived, [REDACTED] confronted him with the information and the CRI steadfastly denied giving any information to the police.

Detective [REDACTED] asked Ms. [REDACTED] if the Bailiff had told [REDACTED] that the police had a search warrant for his shop. She said she did not think he had because she knew [REDACTED] was on parole and she didn't think they needed a search warrant.

Ms. [REDACTED] denied that [REDACTED] removed any narcotics or the automatic weapon as a result of having received the information from the bailiff.

It should be noted that Detective [REDACTED] assured Ms. [REDACTED] that he would not tell anyone about the information she had given him and that he would keep her confidential.

Investigation to continue.

Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. Gerald Barnes

*G. Barnes Lt.*  
*7/6/95*



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE: February 27, 1996 FILE NO: 495-00059-2300-444

C: Suspicious Circumstances/Action Taken: Active/Witness  
Possible Conspiracy to Interviewed  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

V

W:

D.O.B. [REDACTED]

I: Detective [REDACTED] Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

S: Unknown

On September 13, 1995, I interviewed [REDACTED] at Cypress Police Department. [REDACTED] confirmed all the information which he had told Officer [REDACTED] in their August 29, 1995 interview. He could add nothing to that information. (See Supplemental Report dated August 29, 1995)

After that interview I told [REDACTED] that I would like for him to meet me that afternoon in the parking lot of the Bechtel Building in Norwalk. I told him I would like for him to wear a radio transmitter, which would be secreted on his person, and contact Deputy Jimenez at Norwalk Superior Court. During this contact I wanted him to have a conversation with Deputy Jimenez regarding what Jimenez may have told [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] told me that he would like to wait for a day so that he could think about what he would say to Jimenez and how he would get him to talk. He assured me that we could do this the next day, September 14, 1995.

On September 14, 1995 at approximately 1000 hrs, [REDACTED] called me and claimed that he could not make our meeting that afternoon because he had to go to his Probation Officer's office. He said he would be available anytime after this date. Due to scheduling

problems on my part I was not able to meet with [REDACTED] until September 19, 1995.

On September 19, 1995, I paged [REDACTED] to make arrangements for him to meet with Deputy Jimenez. He did not answer his page. I paged him on September 20, September 25, September 26. He did not return my calls for any of these pages.

On September 26, 1995, I contacted Officer [REDACTED] to ascertain if he had heard from [REDACTED] or had any information on how I might contact him. Officer [REDACTED] told me that he had spoken to [REDACTED] prior to my call and that he had warned [REDACTED] that he must cooperate with our investigation. [REDACTED] assured him that he would. I paged [REDACTED] again on September 27, 1995 and he did not return my call.

On October 23, 24, and 25, 1995, the I.C.I.B. surveillance crew check all known locations where [REDACTED] might be, in an effort to locate him. They left messages for him at his last known residence and at his parent's home in [REDACTED]; he did not contact me.

On October 30, 1995, I contacted L.A. County Probation Officer [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] is the probation officer for [REDACTED]. Mr. [REDACTED] made arrangements for [REDACTED] to come to his office on November 8, 1995 for an officer conference. Mr. [REDACTED] said that he would ensure that [REDACTED] contact me during the meeting.

On December 5, 1995, I was contacted by Probation Officer [REDACTED]. He told me that [REDACTED] has missed three appointments with him and he is in the process of recommending to the court that he be violated. Later that afternoon I was again contacted by P.O. [REDACTED]. He told me that [REDACTED] was in his office. I spoke to [REDACTED] and made arrangements to meet with him on 12-06-95 at his parent's house at [REDACTED] in [REDACTED].

On 12-06-95, I went to the [REDACTED] address to contact [REDACTED] and determine why he apparently did not want to follow through with his contact with Deputy Jimenez. Upon my arrival [REDACTED] either was not at the location or did not answer the door.

On January 16, 1996, [REDACTED] was scheduled to appear in Norwalk Superior Court Department H for a probation violation hearing. He did not appear. The judge issued a bench warrant for him and held it until January 30, 1996. [REDACTED] did not appear on January 30, 1996 and the bench warrant was issued. On February 16, 1996, [REDACTED] was arrested by the I.C.I.B. surveillance crew and booked at Lakewood Station.

On February 21, 1996, Sgt. Smith and I interviewed [REDACTED] at the Men's Central Jail. The interview was not tape recorded as we did not expect to get any new information regarding the case. During the interview [REDACTED] maintained that the information he had given us and Officer [REDACTED] about Deputy Jimenez was truthful. He could not give a valid reason why he did not follow through with his promise to contact Deputy Jimenez for us. The only explanation he would give was that he was afraid of Deputy Jimenez and did not want the problems which would come from helping us prove the case.

During the interview [REDACTED] told us that there was another person who could substantiate what he had told us. He said that on the night [REDACTED] called him and had him come to the warehouse and accused him of being a police informant. His [REDACTED] was with him. He identified her as [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] maintained that Ms. [REDACTED] would substantiate that [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that Deputy Jimenez told him that he, [REDACTED] was an informant for Cypress Police Department. She also heard [REDACTED] tell [REDACTED] that Deputy Jimenez told him the police were going to search the warehouse in Paramount.

[REDACTED] could add nothing further and the interview was terminated.

Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. Gerald Barnes

*S. Barnes Lt.*  
*2/28/96*



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE: February 27, 1996 FILE NO: 495-00059-2300-444

C: Suspicious Circumstances/Action Taken: Active/Witness  
Possible Conspiracy to Interviewed  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

V

W: [REDACTED] D.O.B. [REDACTED] AKA [REDACTED]

I: Detective [REDACTED], Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

S: Unknown

On February 21, 1996, Sgt. Smith and I interviewed Ms. [REDACTED] at her mother's home in [REDACTED]. Ms. [REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] and the person who was allegedly with him on the night [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that Deputy Jimenez had given him information regarding a pending search by Cypress Police Department. The interview was tape recorded for accuracy and the following is a summary of that interview.

During the interview Ms. [REDACTED] told us that she is the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]. She said during the time that [REDACTED] was working as an informant for Cypress Police Department she and [REDACTED] were romantically involved.

We asked her if she remembered the night when [REDACTED] called [REDACTED] and had him come to the warehouse in Paramount. She told us she did remember that night and that she had gone to the warehouse with him. She said sometime in July of 1995 around ten o'clock in the evening, [REDACTED] contacted [REDACTED] and told him to come to the warehouse because he wanted to talk to him. When they arrived it was obvious that [REDACTED] and others were in the process of moving vehicles and other items out of the

warehouse.

We asked her if she heard a conversation where [REDACTED] accused [REDACTED] of being an informant for the police. She said she did. She told us that when they arrived at the warehouse [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and she walked to an area away from others who were at the location. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that someone had told him that he, [REDACTED], had gotten out of jail by telling the police that [REDACTED] was dealing drugs. [REDACTED] also told [REDACTED] that he had heard that he was working as an informant for the police.

She said [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that this was not true. She said [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had known each other for a long time and she had the feeling that [REDACTED] believed him.

We asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] had told [REDACTED] that he had gotten the information from Deputy Jimenez. She said she did not recall him naming Deputy Jimenez as the source of the information. She then added that she did not remember how she knew the following information, however, she knew that the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] was Deputy Jimenez's [REDACTED].

I asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] told them that he had gotten the information from his [REDACTED]. She said he did not say that, and that he would not say where he got the information.

It should be noted that during the interview with Ms. [REDACTED] she referred to Deputy Jimenez on several occasions as "Timmy". I asked her if she knew Deputy Jimenez, she said she did not, she said she had never met him.

Ms. [REDACTED] could add nothing further and the interview was terminated.

Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. Gerald Barnes

*G. Barnes Lt.*  
2/28/96



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE: April 5, 1996

FILE NO: 495-00059-2300-444

C: Suspicious Circumstances/ Action Taken: Active/Witness  
Possible Conspiracy to Interviewed  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

V:

Address:

Telephone No.:

I: Detective [REDACTED]

Address: 5275 Orange Avenue  
Cypress, CA 90630

Telephone No.: 714-229-6641

L: 12720 Norwalk Blvd., Norwalk, CA (Norwalk Superior Court)

T: July 26, 1995

S: Unknown

On April 3, 1996, at 0855 hours, Sergeant West interviewed Inmate [REDACTED], at the California Men's Colony in San Luis Obispo on behalf of Sergeant Overton. I/[REDACTED] stated that he was sentenced to the Men's Colony for one year on August 1, 1995, for possession of a firearm. His parole officer is Mr. [REDACTED]. Mr. [REDACTED] is assigned to the Santa Fe Springs office.

Sergeant West asked I/[REDACTED] to tell her about his arrest and detention. I/[REDACTED] explained that Officer [REDACTED] Cypress Police Department, conducted a raid on his paint and body shop, and arrested him, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I/[REDACTED] said that [REDACTED] is a friend of his and [REDACTED] is a [REDACTED]. I/[REDACTED] said that was the only raid Officer [REDACTED] did on his shop. I/[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] an old friend of his, became a confidential reliable informant (CRI) for Officer [REDACTED] and told Officer [REDACTED] that he (I/[REDACTED]) was dealing in large quantities of methamphetamine through his shop. I/[REDACTED] initially stated that he received a "mysterious" phone call saying that [REDACTED] was working for the police, and was trying to set him up for a "big bust." I/[REDACTED] said [REDACTED] subsequently contacted him and asked him to sell [REDACTED] three pounds of methamphetamine which I/[REDACTED] thought was suspicious. On further questioning, I/[REDACTED] told the

ICIB Investigator that one of his [redacted] [redacted] called him and said a friend told her that, "something was going down with [redacted] and it's not right." I/[redacted] said [redacted] told him that [redacted] was a CRI working for the police. I/[redacted] said he didn't know who [redacted] friend was or whether the friend was employed by the Sheriff's Department. I/[redacted] said he did not know Deputy Timothy Jimenez, he did not talk to Deputy Jimenez and he didn't tell anyone that he talked to a deputy. I/[redacted] said he did not know if [redacted] knew Deputy Jimenez. I/[redacted] said [redacted] lives at [redacted] and she can be contacted at [redacted] [redacted] has a [redacted] [redacted] who works for the [redacted]

I/[redacted] said he called [redacted] sometime after he received information that [redacted] was working for the police, and he told [redacted] to come to the shop where he confronted him. I/[redacted] said [redacted] denied any involvement with the police, and I/[redacted] believed him. I/[redacted] said [redacted] told mutual acquaintances that he is a CRI, and that he set up I/[redacted]. The mutual acquaintances told I/[redacted] I/[redacted] would not say who the acquaintances were.

Sergeant West asked I/[redacted] how [redacted] got the information about [redacted] and I/[redacted] said he didn't know. Sergeant West asked I/[redacted] if he would tell Sergeant West who gave [redacted] the information if he knew. I/[redacted] replied, "...If I knew...I probably would not tell you...someone was looking out for me and I don't want to see them get into any trouble...and this person wasn't doing anything but looking out, and telling me what's going on..."

On April 4, 1996, at approximately 1045 hours, Sergeant West phoned Officer [redacted] California Men's Colony and asked Officer [redacted] to monitor calls that I/[redacted] makes, and any visits I/[redacted] receives. Officer [redacted] said he would comply with Sergeant West's request and contact her on April 11, 1996.

On April 4, 1996, at approximately 1300 hours, Sergeant West attempted to interview [redacted] at her residence, however she was not home. Sergeant West contacted Mrs. [redacted] of the [redacted] in Apartment # [redacted]. Mrs. [redacted] provided Sergeant West with the names of [redacted] relatives; a Mrs. [redacted] and Mrs. [redacted] which were listed on [redacted] application. Sergeant West left her business card with Mrs. [redacted] and asked her to have [redacted] call her.

Investigation continuing.

Submitted:

Sergeant Stacy West, # [redacted]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lieutenant William Graves *WLD 4-17-96*



**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DATE:** May 29, 1996

**FILE NO:** 495-00059-2300-444

**C:** Suspicious Circumstances/Action Taken: Active/Witness  
Possible Conspiracy to Interviewed  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

**V**

**W:**

D.O.B. [REDACTED]

**I:** Detective [REDACTED], Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

**S:** Unknown

On May 29, 1996, I interviewed [REDACTED] at her home in [REDACTED]. Ms. [REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] of State Prison inmate [REDACTED]. During an interview with [REDACTED] on April 3, 1996, he told Sgt. Stacy West that Ms. [REDACTED] had told him that [REDACTED], a confidential informant for Cypress Police Department, was working for the police. He said he received this information prior to a search warrant being served at his business and prior to his arrest. The search warrant and arrest were as a result of information from [REDACTED]. The purpose of this interview was to determine who told Ms. [REDACTED] the information regarding [REDACTED]. The interview was tape recorded for accuracy and the following is a summary of that interview.

During the first part of the interview with Ms. [REDACTED], I confirmed that she is the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]. I also confirmed that she had attended high school with [REDACTED] and Deputy Timothy Jimenez.

I advised Ms. [REDACTED] that we had spoken with [REDACTED] and he had told Sgt. West that she had received a telephone call from someone who told her that [REDACTED] was working for the police. I told her the reason I was talking with her today was to identify the caller who gave her the information.

Ms. [REDACTED] at first told me that she did not speak with the person, whoever called her just left the information about [REDACTED] on her telephone answering machine. She said the caller was a male and that she did not recognize the voice.

After talking to Ms. [REDACTED] for approximately 10 minutes she finally gave me the following information. She said sometime during the month of July 1995, Deputy Timothy Jimenez called her on the telephone. Deputy Jimenez told her that [REDACTED] was working as an informant for the police, and that [REDACTED] should be careful. She said she did not recall Deputy Jimenez telling her what police agency [REDACTED] was working for, just that he was a "snitch".

Ms. [REDACTED] also said that Deputy Jimenez did not tell her to call [REDACTED], but she assumed that he knew she would call him. She made that assumption because Deputy Jimenez knew that she was [REDACTED] and that she was [REDACTED] at the time.

Ms. [REDACTED] said the conversation between she and Deputy Jimenez was a very short one. They did not discuss any other subjects other than the information about [REDACTED]. I asked her if Deputy Jimenez actually came to her house or if he called her on the telephone. She maintained that he called her on the phone and that he has never been to her house.

She said she did not ask Deputy Jimenez how he knew the information about [REDACTED] she just accepted it as accurate. As she said, "they have their ways" of getting information.

She said she after receiving the call from Deputy Jimenez, she either paged [REDACTED] or she left a message for him to call her. Within twenty four hours she said she gave the information about [REDACTED] to [REDACTED].

I asked Ms. [REDACTED] how well she knew Deputy Jimenez. She maintained that she did not know him too well. She said she did go to high school with him and they used to attend beach parties together. She said she occasionally sees him when she drops off her oldest son at preschool because Deputy Jimenez drives by that location on his way to work.

Ms. [REDACTED] could add nothing further and the interview was terminated.



Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. William Graves

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DATE:** August 26, 1996

**FILE NO:** 495-00059-2300-444

**C:** Suspicious Circumstances/Action Taken: Active/Possible  
Possible Conspiracy to Witness Contacted  
Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.

**V**

**W:** Timothy Jimenez, # [REDACTED] Deputy Sheriff

**I:** Detective [REDACTED] Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

**S:** Unknown

On August 26, 1996, I contacted Deputy Jimenez at his home. I advised him that I was conducting an investigation into an allegation of criminal misconduct by him. I briefly explained the nature of the allegation and told him I would like to interview him. I also told him I could not compel him to submit to an interview and that it would be purely voluntary on his part.

Deputy Jimenez advised me that he would like to consult an attorney prior to agreeing to the interview.

On August 27, 1996, Legal Assistant Peggy Madsen, of the Law Offices of Green and Shinee, called the I.C.I.B. Offices. Ms. Madsen advised me that Deputy Jimenez was represented by Attorney Richard Shinee. She said she was relaying the message that Mr. Shinee had advised Deputy Jimenez that it was in his best interest not to be interviewed.

**Submitted:**

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. William Graves *W/O 8-29-96*

CONTENTS  
A. ETZEL  
NOTED

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DATE:** August 26, 1996

**OLD FILE:** 495-00059-2300-444

**NEW FILE:** 495-00059-2300-322

**C:** Conspiracy to Obstruct  
Justice 182 (5) P.C.

**Action Taken:** Active/Change of  
Classification/Change  
of Stat Code/Suspect  
Named

**V:** Los Angeles County

**S:** Timothy Jimenez, # [REDACTED] Deputy Sheriff

**I:** Detective [REDACTED] Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

The purpose of this supplemental report is two fold. One is to change the crime classification and statistical reporting code as indicated in the heading of this report, the other is to name Deputy Jimenez as a suspect of that crime.

The crime classification is being changed from Suspicious Circumstances/Possible Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice to Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice, 182 (5) P.C. This change is being made due to the fact that on May 29, 1996, Deputy Jimenez was identified by [REDACTED] as the person who told her about the investigation by the Cypress Police Department. He also told her who the informant was for Cypress Police Department.

According to Ms. [REDACTED], Deputy Jimenez knew that she was Suspect [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. She told this investigator that she assumed he knew she would tell [REDACTED] the information, which she ultimately did.

The facts of this case will be presented to the Special Investigations Division of the District Attorney's Office for consideration of a complaint.

Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton # [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. William Graves *WD 8-27-92*



**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DATE:** April 15, 1997

**FILE NO:** 495-00059-2300-322

**C:** Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice 182 (5) P.C.      **Action Taken:** Inactive/D.A. Rejection Case Closed

**V:** Los Angeles County

**I:** Detective [REDACTED], Cypress Police Department  
5275 Orange Ave. Cypress, Ca. 90630, 714-229-6641

**S:** Timothy Jimenez, # [REDACTED], Deputy Sheriff

The purpose of this supplemental report is to activate this investigation.

On April 3, 1997, the Special Investigations Division of the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office issued a letter in which they formally declined to prosecute Deputy Timothy Jimenez for the charge of Obstruction of Justice. The reason they cited was that there was insufficient evidence to prove a conspiracy. (The formal letter of rejection will be submitted as an exhibit with this investigation.)

In their written rejection, the District Attorney stated that it appears clear that Deputy Jimenez' actions in this case were highly improper and inappropriate. They said his actions were potentially dangerous and life threatening to the officers involved in the investigation. They also said that what he did was "reckless and a blatant betrayal of his fellow law enforcement personnel."

As no further criminal investigation is warranted in this case,  
it is being closed to the Internal Criminal Investigations  
Bureau.

Submitted:

Sgt. Thomas W. Overton [REDACTED]  
Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau  
Approved: Lt. William Graves *WJG 4-15-57*